

Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004-2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG):

R8TMPP

Table Mountain/Pitch Pine

General Information

Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")

Modelers

Roger D. Fryar rfryar@fs.fed.us

Reviewers

Charles Lafon clafon@geog.tamu.edu
Rob Klein Rob_Klein@nps.gov

Vegetation Type

Woodland

Dominant Species*

PIRI KALA
PIPU5 GAYLU
QUCO2 VACCI
QUPR2

General Model Sources

- Literature
 Local Data
 Expert Estimate

LANDFIRE Mapping Zones

57
53
59

Rapid Assessment Model Zones

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> California | <input type="checkbox"/> Pacific Northwest |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Great Basin | <input type="checkbox"/> South Central |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Great Lakes | <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Northeast | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> S. Appalachians |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Northern Plains | <input type="checkbox"/> Southwest |
| <input type="checkbox"/> N-Cent.Rockies | |

Geographic Range

Mountains of TN, NC, KY (Pitch Pine), VA, WV, GA (extreme NE), SC (Extreme NW).

Biophysical Site Description

Occurs on xeric to dry sites at moderate to upper elevations between 1500 and 3500 feet. Described as "ridgetop communities" occupying the driest and most fire-prone of sites.

Vegetation Description

Overstory pine species dominate with up to 70% species specific. Scarlet and chestnut oak and other pines may also be in overstories. Midstories when present may include rhododendron (rosebay and catawba), mountain laurel, blackgum, red maple, sourwood, black locust and sprouts of American chestnut. Understories can include hobblebush, blueberries, huckberries, Galax, sedges and other herbaceous species. CES202.331 Southern Appalachian Montane Pine Forest and Woodland

Disturbance Description

Fire Regime Group I with relatively common surface fires (5 years) and rarer mixed (160) and replacement (100 years) fires. Non-fire disturbances that can result in stand replacement include mortality from insects (biotic) and wind-weather related events (abiotic) e.g., windstorm and ice. Effects can be more pronounced because these species are more commonly found on exposed, often droughty and usually low productivity sites. In the absence of periodic fire, dense regeneration leads to overcrowded stands more likely predisposed to insects, particularly southern pine beetle (SPB) epidemics. Larger patches or regeneration 5 to 250 acres in size can occur in association with SPB outbreaks in the Southern Appalachians. Other bark beetles also attack these species but produce smaller patches (usually less than an acre) of mortality.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

The community is typically characterized by combining Pitch Pine and Table Mountain Pine together

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

because they often occur on very similar sites. Although both species are strongly fire-adapted, Table-Mountain pine is more "dependent" on fire and is capable of maintaining itself under the most frequent and most severe of possible fire regimes. Table mountain pine could fit into Fire Regime Group II or III just as easily as in I.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Large patch (generally), Table-Mountain pine tends to be in smaller stands than Pitch pine.

Issues/Problems

At the finest scale Pitch Pine and Table Pine may need to be separated as FRCC model types.

Model Evolution and Comments

QA/QC changes: After running the model with no changes, the Mixed Fire FRI was over 600 years, which the modeler thought was much too high. Mixed Fire was added to Classes C and D (pathways to same class) with probabilities of 0.007. Class percentages changed very little, but the Mixed Fire FRI was reduced to 160 years. Added additional disturbance info provided by modeler. Both reviewers indicated that Class C and D should have Max Cover of 60%, so that change was made, along with changes to B and E to make these classes exclusive. Also changed Cover to 35 to 100% based upon description (very dense) and reviewer recommendation. One reviewer who seems familiar with this BpS believes Mixed fires were more common than replacement fires. However, the other reviewer did not make that comment, and the original modeler disagreed in a follow-up response. Therefore, the probabilities were left as-is, but this should be explored before the LANDFIRE workshops. Species in C&D was changed from SCSC to SONU, which one reviewer seemed very confident of. QUPR2 was also added to the species list for class E as upper-canopy dominant and GAYLU was removed from the species list.

Succession Classes
Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 15%

Early1 All Structures

Description

Very dense regeneration 5 to 15 feet in height. Typically sparse understory. Fires occurring in this class are nearly always stand-replacing.

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position

- PIRI Upper
- PIPU5 Upper
- QUCO2 Mid-Upper
- QUPR2 Mid-Upper

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model 9

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	35 %	100 %
Height	Tree Regen <5m	Tree Short 5-9m
Tree Size Class	Sapling >4.5ft; <5"DBH	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Class B 10%

Mid1 Closed

Description

Mid-seral with close canopy, mostly pine in overstory. Sparse understories.

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position

PIRI Upper
PIPU5 Upper
QUCO2 Mid-Upper
QUPR2 Mid-Upper

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model 9

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	60 %	100 %
Height	Tree Short 5-9m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Pole 5-9" DBH	

- Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class C 35%

Mid1 Open

Description

Mid-seral, open canopy. Woodland with herbaceous understory. In the absence of frequent fire, woody understory including mountain laurel and ericaceous species.

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position

PIRI Upper
PIPU5 Upper
SCSC Lower
SONU Lower

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model 9

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	10 %	60 %
Height	Tree Short 5-9m	Tree Medium 10-24m
Tree Size Class	Pole 5-9" DBH	

- Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class D 35%

Late1 Open

Description

Late-development, open canopy pine-oak to oak-pine

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position

PIRI Upper
PIPU5 Upper
QUCO2 Mid-Upper
GAYLU Lower

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model 9

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	10 %	60 %
Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Tall 25-49m
Tree Size Class	Medium 9-21"DBH	

- Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Class E 5%

LateI Closed

Description

Late-seral, closed canopy, pine-oak dominated overstory. Little herbaceous cover and dense shrub layer.

Indicator Species* and Canopy Position

PIRI Upper
PIPU5 Upper
QUCO2 Upper
QUPR2 Upper

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model 9

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	60 %	100 %
Height	Tree Medium 10-24m	Tree Tall 25-49m
Tree Size Class	Medium 9-21 "DBH	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Disturbances

Non-Fire Disturbances Modeled

- Insects/Disease
- Wind/Weather/Stress
- Native Grazing
- Competition
- Other:
- Other:

Fire Regime Group: 1

- I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity
- III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity
- IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity
- V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity

Historical Fire Size (acres)

Avg: 100
Min: 10
Max:1000

Fire Intervals (FI):

Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is the central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.

Sources of Fire Regime Data

- Literature
- Local Data
- Expert Estimate

	Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Replacement	100			0.01	5
Mixed	160			0.00625	3
Surface	5			0.2	92
All Fires	5			0.21625	

References

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Frost, C., Presettlement Fire Frequency Regimes of the United States: A First Approximation. Pages 70-81, May 1996., Proceedings of the 20nd Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference: Fire in Ecosystem Management: Shifting the Paradigm from Suppression to Prescription. Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL.

Lafon, C.W., Kutac, M.J., 2003. Effects of ice storms, southern pine beetle infestation, and fire on table mountain pine forests of southwestern Virginia. Physical Geography 24, 502-519.

Little, E.L., Jr., 1971, Atlas of United States trees, volume 1, conifers and important hardwoods: U.S. Department of Agriculture Miscellaneous Publication 1146, 9 p., 200 maps. [Online]. Available: <http://esp.cr.usgs.gov/data/atlas/little>

*Dominant and Indicator Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

NatureServe. 2005. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA U.S. A. Data current as of January 13, 2005.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/>.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Region, June 1997, Guidance for Conserving and Restoring Old-Growth Forest Communities on National Forests in the Southern Region – Report of the Region 8 Old-Growth Team, Forestry Report R8-FR 62.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Research Station, Southern Forest Resource Assessment, [Online]. Available: <http://www.srs.fs.fed.us/sustain>

Sutherland, E. K., Grissino-Mayer, H. D., Woodhouse, C. A., Covington, W. W., Horn, S., Huckaby, L., Kerr, R., Kush, J., Moore, M., and Plumb, T. (1995) Two centuries of fire in a southwestern Virginia *Pinus pungens* community. In *Inventory and Management Techniques in the Context of Catastrophic Events: Altered States of the Forest*. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University, Center for Statistical Ecology and Environmental Statistics.

Williams, C. E. and Johnson, W. C. (1990) Age structure and the maintenance of *Pinus pungens* in pine-oak forests of southwestern Virginia. *American Midland Naturalist*, Vol. 124, 130-141.